Cheng-Ying Liu, Akira Ishigami, Takashi Kurose and Hiroshi Ito* Evaluation of internal morphology and engineering properties of graphite-filled UHMWPE nanocomposites produced using a novel octa-screw kneading extruder

https://doi.org/10.1515/polyeng-2018-0288 Received September 2, 2018; accepted November 12, 2018

Abstract: Ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) is a very attractive polymer employed as a high performance material, while filler-reinforced composites have demonstrated its feasibility in various applications. Melt-mixing in an extruder is a key process in the development of polymer nanocomposites. Due to its high melt viscosity, dispersion of fillers is considered as a challenge in UHMWPE nanocomposites preparation process. In this work, we have prepared graphite-filled UHMWPE nanocomposites using a novel octa-screw melt kneading extruder. The engineering properties as well as the morphology of kneaded nanocomposites were characterized using tensile tester, friction and wear tester, scanning electron microscopy, optical profilemeter, polarized optical microscope, thermogravimetric analysis, differential scanning calorimetry, etc. The experimental results suggested good dispersion of graphite in the UHMWPE nanocomposites, demonstrating the excellent capability of the octa-screw extruder in compounding the graphite-filled nanocomposites. The yield strengths of the nanocomposites increase by 10% (from 21.6 MPa to 23.8 MPa) with the addition of 2% graphite. When the filler percentage was increased to 20%, the yield strengths improved from 21.6 to 27.4 MPa (an increase of 26.8%) for the graphite-filled composites. Furthermore, the crystallinity of UHMWPE nanocomposites increased with the content of graphite fillers, while the pyrolysis temperature of the composites increased with the content of graphite fillers.

Keywords: composites; graphite; octa-screw extruder; UHMWPE.

1 Introduction

Ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) [1] is one of the thermoplastic materials that have attracted the attention of many researchers and has been of great use, especially in artificial bodies, mainly due to its high strength and stiffness, good fatigue resistance, and appropriate wear characteristics. The material also finds itself useful in many other applications including microelectronics, engineering, chemistry and the food industry. Highly cross-linked polyethylene is the material of choice in contemporary use especially for hip arthroplasty. Gamma radiation and electron beam radiation are commonly used to crosslink UHMWPE, and particular treatments need to be performed to avoid material oxidation [2, 3]. Despite these advantages, the material property of UHMWPE still needs to be improved [4]. In order to address this issue, the development of novel materials with improved property, able to extend the life of the implant, has generated great interest. Reinforcing materials-filled UHMWPE composites have been developed as an alternative to the current highly crosslinked UHMWPE produced by highenergy radiation and thermal or antioxidant stabilization. The combination of extraordinary physical properties and the ability to be dispersed in various polymer matrices has resulted in a new class of polymer composites. In particular, the addition of carbon nanostructure to improve the final performance of materials has been a topic of interest over the last few years not only in the orthopedic field but also in other industries, such as the automotive and aerospace industries [5, 6].

Many researchers have dedicated their efforts to the improvement of the UHMWPE properties through the use of nanofiller materials such as carbon nanofibers [7], carbon nanotubes (CNT) [8–10] and graphene fillers [11–16], or via the graphene surface coatings [17–19]. The high aspect dimensional ratio, compared to other nanoparticles, and their carbonaceous nature provide them with exceptional properties. These nanomaterials have demonstrated the ability to improve the wear characteristics and oxidative resistance of UHMWPE without compromising its biocompatibility. Despite the superior performance of CNT and graphene-filled nanocomposites,

^{*}Corresponding author: Hiroshi Ito, Research Center for Green Materials and Advanced Processing, Yamagata University, Yamagata 992-8510, Japan, e-mail: ihiroshi@yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp Cheng-Ying Liu, Akira Ishigami and Takashi Kurose: Research Center for Green Materials and Advanced Processing, Yamagata University, Yamagata 992-8510, Japan

the high cost of these fillers has confounded the overall success of the composites.

In this work, we developed graphite-filled UHMWPE composites [20, 21] and evaluated their engineering properties and internal morphology. A novel octa-screw melt kneading extruder was employed to prepare the nanocomposites. The extruder can provide an enhanced mixing of fillers in the composites, mainly resulting from its advantages including reduction of heat generated from the material in the processing section and improvement in dispersion owing to high-speed screw rotation. Nanocomposite specimens were characterized using tensile tester, friction and wear tester, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), optical profilemeter, polarized optical microscope, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), etc. In addition, the internal morphology of the nanocomposites was also inspected.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

The polymeric material used in this study was UHMWPE (630M, Mitsui Chemicals, Inc., Japan) with a molecular weight of 3.4×10^6 Da. The graphite utilized included Model CGB-20 powders (Gr^①), Model UP-5N powders (Gr^②) and Model UP-20 spherical powder (Gr^③), all purchased from Nippon Graphite Industries, Japan. Figure 1 shows the images of these graphites, observed under a JSM-6510 scanning electron microscope (JEOL Ltd., Japan). The microscopic results suggested that Gr^① graphite shows an ellipsoid shape and has a particle size of approximately 10 µm. Gr^② graphite displays a tiny laminate shape and possesses a size of 5 µm, while graphite in Gr^③ exhibits laminate geometry and has a size of about 20 µm.

2.2 Preparation of graphite-filled nanocomposites

While a twin-screw extruder has considerable performance compared with a single-screw extruder, certain limits are still associated with the twin-screw extruder when additional performance is needed. In this current study, we employed a novel intermeshing co-rotating parallel octa-screw kneading extruder (WDR 150T-45MG-NH, Technovel Co., Ltd., Japan) to prepare the graphite-filled nanocomposites. Figure 2 shows photographically the extruder used to compound the nanocomposites. The seven temperature settings, including six on the extruder and one at the die, were all maintained at 240°C. The kneading time and the screw rotational speed were 150 s and 400 rpm, respectively. The extrusion rate is 1.70 kg/h.



Figure 1: SEM photos of filling graphite. (A) Model CGB-20 powders in Gr⁽¹⁾, (B) model UP-5N powders in Gr⁽²⁾, and (C) model UP-20 spherical powder in Gr⁽³⁾.



Figure 2: Photograph of the octa-screw extruder.

2.3 Characterization of composite specimens

2.3.1 Tensile test

Tensile tests were completed on a Strograph VGS1E (Toyo Seiki Seisaku-sho, Ltd., Japan) tensiometer equipped with a 50 N load cell, in a manner consistent with the ASTM D638 standard. To prepare the test specimens, graphite-filled nanocomposites were first compressed molded into a thin sheet with a thickness of 0.35 mm using a vacuum hot press (Imoto machinery Co., Ltd., Japan) at a temperature of 300°C and a pressure of 40 MPa. A dumbbell-shaped tensile bar was then cut from the molded sheet and held between two clamps that were separated by 2 cm. During measurement, the test bar was pulled by the top clamp at a rate of 50 mm/min. The force and elongation before the bar was broken were recorded.

2.3.2 Friction and wear test

The specimen for the test was prepared using a handextrusion type injection molding machine (Hand Truder M-1, Toyo Seiki Seisaku-sho Ltd., Japan), and has a dimension of 1 cm by 1 cm and a thickness of 1 mm. Friction and wear test was conducted on a Frictoron EFM-III-F tester (Orientec Corporation, Japan). The surface contact pressure was 16 MPa, and the rotational speed was 30 rpm. The test time was 10 min.



Figure 3: Dispersion of graphite in UHMWPE nanocomposites observed under the polarized optical microscope. (A) CGB-20, (B) UP-5N, and (C) UP-20.

2.3.3 TGA

TGA analysis was carried out on a thermogravimetric analyzer (TA Instruments QA-50, USA). The temperature of the furnace was programmed to rise at constant heating rate of 5°C/min from 40 to 600°C. The tests were performed under an air flow of 60 ml/min. The amount of nanocomposite material used for the tests was weighed with a balance of ± 0.1 mg of accuracy and was ranging 9–11 mg.

2.3.4 SEM

The specimens were prepared by cryostat sectioning, and the internal morphology was observed at low vacuum condition under a scanning electron microscope (JSM-6510, JEOL Ltd., Japan) after Pd-Pt vapor deposition.

2.3.5 Optical profilemeter

The surface profile of graphite-filled nanocomposites was observed using an optical profilemeter (Zygo 3D Optical Surface Profilers, Japan). Specimens were examined before and after the wear test.

2.3.6 Polarized optical microscopy

The cross sections of virgin UHMWPE and graphitefilled UHMWPE nanocomposites were observed under a polarized optical microscope (OLYMPUS BX51, Japan). Specimens for observation were prepared by cryostat sectioning.

2.3.7 DSC

A TA-Q200C differential scanning calorimeter was used to characterize the thermal properties of the nanocomposites. The range of temperature for analysis was $30-400^{\circ}$ C, and the heating rate was 3° C/min.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Internal morphology

An important property of composites is the possibility of purposely planned structure to obtain desired



Figure 4: Cross-sectional morphology of virgin UHMWPE and graphite-filled nanocomposites.

characteristics. Good filler dispersion in a polymer matrix is crucial for achieving the desired performance. The cross sections of the graphite-filled composites were observed under a polarized optical microscope. The images in Figure 3 showed good dispersion of graphite in the UHMWPE nanocomposites, demonstrating the excellent capability of the octa-screw extruder in compounding the graphite-filled composites.

SEM was also employed to characterize the cross-sectional morphology of the materials. The images in Figure 4 suggest that the pure UHMWPE exhibited a smooth crosssectional surface. At 2% graphite filling, both Gr^①- and Gr@-filled nanocomposites displayed relative smooth cross-sectional surface, while Gr3-filled composites showed rough and some irregular surface. As the percentage of graphite was increased to 10%, all composites displayed rough cross-sectional surfaces. Gr3-filled composites demonstrated the roughest surface, followed by those filled by Gr² and Gr¹. At 20% graphite filling, all composited material showed even rougher cross-sectional surfaces. Due to the laminate geometry of Gr² and Gr³, delamination could be found in the nanocomposites filled by these graphites. Again, laminate graphite (Gr2- and Gr3)-filled composites exhibited rougher surface than ellipsoid graphite (Gr^①).

3.2 Engineering properties

The tensile properties of graphite-filled nanocomposites were measured. The experimental results in Figure 5 suggested that the yield strengths of the nanocomposites increase by 10% (from 21.6 MPa to 23.8 MPa in Table 1) with the addition of 2% Gr^① graphite. The geometries of the graphite fillers demonstrated their influence on the tensile properties of UHMWPE nanocomposites. Ellipsoid-shaped Gr^① (CGB group)-filled composites showed a greater yield strength than the tiny laminate-shaped Gr² graphite, while the big laminate-shaped graphite Gr3 exhibited the lowest strength. The yield strengths of the filled composites increased with the content of the graphite, while the elongation at break decreased with the addition of the graphite fillers. When the filler percentage was increased to 20%, the yield strengths improved from 21.6 to 27.4 MPa (an increase of 26.8%) for the Gr^① graphitefilled nanocomposites. However, the elongation at break at 20% graphite filling is quite limited.

Figure 6 shows the wear depth versus friction coefficient of the nanocomposites. The results of the wear test showed that the friction coefficient and wear depth of UHMWPE nanocomposites increase as the



Figure 5: Tensile properties of (A) 2%, (B) 10%, and (C) 20% graphite-filled UHMWPE.

Table 1: Yield strengths of graphite-filled composites.

Graphite (%)	2% (MPa)	10% (MPa)	20% (MPa)
Gr①	23.8	22.6	27.4
Gr [®]	22.6	24.7	27
Gr3	21.8	24.5	27.6

graphite content increases. At 2% concentrations, all filled UHMWPE nanocomposites showed comparable wears to virgin UHMWPE. The wear resistance decreased as the filler percentage was increased, mainly due to a rougher surface caused by the fillers (see Figure 4). The wear increased significantly as the filler concentrations exceeded 10%. As the fillers are increased, the fillers may not be completely encapsulated by the matrix. Delamination may thus increase and in turn lead to higher wear of the composites. Gr@- and Gr@-filled composites exhibited a little higher wear than the Gr@filled composites. At 20% filling percentage, composites



Figure 6: Wear depths of graphite-filled nanocomposites.

filled with Gr3 demonstrated a greater wear than the other groups, mainly due to the fact that Gr3-filled composites showed rough and some irregular surface. UHMWPE/Gr^① exhibited the best wear resistance properties and highest friction coefficient, while UHMWPE/ Gr⁽³⁾ showed the opposite. Ellipsoid graphite-filled composites showed higher wear resistance than laminate graphite-filled composites. This might be due to the fact that compared to ellipsoid graphite, the laminate graphite may not be completely encapsulated by the surrounding matrix and is easier to be released from the matrix. The released graphite particulates from the UHMWPE nanocomposites may act as wear aid and accelerate the wearing of the composites. In addition, laminate fillers of greater size led to lower friction coefficient. The 20 µm sized laminate graphite may be easier to be broken and released than 5 µm sized graphite from the matrix. UHMWPE/Gr3 nanocomposites thus exhibited smaller wear resistance than the UHMWPE/Gr2 composites. The experimental results also suggest that there exists a critical filler percentage, which is approximately 10% for the nanocomposites developed in this study, after which the wear increases significantly.

Figure 7 shows the DSC measurement results. The crystallinity of UHMWPE nanocomposites increased with the content of graphite fillers. As expected, the crystallinity decreased with the content of the filling graphite. In addition, Gr³-filled UHMWPE nanocomposites showed the highest crystallinity, while Gr¹-filled composites exhibited the lowest crystallinity. Due to the nucleating effect of the graphite fillers, the polymeric materials crystalized at a higher speed and thus resulted in a higher crystallinity of the composites.

Finally, the thermal gravimetric properties of graphite-filled UHMWPE nanocomposites were characterized. Figure 8 shows the pyrolysis temperatures of the graphitefilled nanocomposites. Obviously, the pyrolysis temperature of the materials increased with the addition of graphite



Figure 7: Crystallinity of UHMWPE with different concentrations of filled graphite.



Figure 8: Pyrolysis temperature of graphite-filled nanocomposites.

Table 2: Graphite contents of graphite-filled nanocomposites.

Samples	Actual graphite (%)
Virgin UHMWPE	0
UHMWPE/Gr1 2%	1.952
UHMWPE/Gr 10%	8.945
UHMWPE/Gr 20%	16.76
UHMWPE/Gr2 2%	1.927
UHMWPE/Gr2 10%	9.007
UHMWPE/Gr2 20%	16.163
UHMWPE/Gr3 2%	1.958
UHMWPE/Gr3 10%	9.059
UHMWPE/Gr3 20%	16.636

fillers. The temperatures also increased with the content of graphite (listed in Table 2) in the nanocomposites. This can be explained by the fact that graphite can act as barriers for heat transfer during the pyrolysis process. Pyrolysis temperatures thus increased with the addition of graphite fillers. In addition, the increased degradation temperature is also possibly a consequence of the superior thermal stability of the dispersed graphite, which also acted as barrier to mass transfer during thermal scanning [22].

4 Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn based on the experimental results:

- 1. The SEM photos showed good dispersion of graphite in the UHMWPE composites, demonstrating the excellent capability of the octa-screw extruder in compounding the graphite-filled composites.
- 2. The yield strengths of the composites increased by 10% (from 21.6 MPa to 23.8 MPa) with the addition of 2% Gr^① graphite. When the filler percentage was increased to 20%, the yield strengths improved from 21.6 to 27.4 MPa (an increase of 26.8%) for the Gr^① graphite-filled composites.
- 3. The crystallinity of UHMWPE composites increased with the content of graphite fillers. Gr³-filled UHMWPE composites showed the highest crystallinity, while Gr¹-filled composites exhibited the lowest crystallinity.
- 4. Pyrolysis temperature of the composites increased with the content of graphite fillers.
- 5. Gr⁽³⁾-filled composites demonstrated the most rough surface, followed by those filled by Gr⁽²⁾ and Gr⁽¹⁾. This matches well with the experimental results of wear resistance test, namely, that Gr⁽³⁾-filled composites possessed the highest wear, followed by those of Gr⁽²⁾ and Gr⁽¹⁾.

Funding: This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "MFS Materials Science (Grant Numbers JP18H05483), and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP16K06740".

References

- [1] Bracco P, Bellare A, Bistolfi A, Affatato S. *Materials* 2017, 10, 791–722.
- [2] Tripathi SN, Srinivasa Rao GS, Mathur AB, Jasra R. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 23615–23632.
- [3] Huang G, Ni Z, Chen G, Zhao Y. Int. J. Polym. Sci. 2016, 2016, 1–9.
- [4] Xiong L, Xiong D, Jin J. J. Bionic Eng. 2009, 6, 7–13.
- [5] Peng Y, Wang Z, Zou K. Langmuir 2015, 31, 7782–7791.
- [6] Aly AA, Zeidan EB, Alshennawy AA, El-Masry AA, Wasel WA. World J. Nano Sci. Eng. 2012, 2, 32–39.
- [7] Wood WJ, Maguire RG, Zhong WH. Compos. Part B-Eng. 2011, 42, 584–591.
- [8] Gupta A, Triphahi G, Lahiri D, Balani K. J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 2013, 29, 514–522.
- [9] Puertolas JA, Kurtz SM. J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 2014, 39, 129–145.
- [10] Visco A, Yousef S, Galtieri G, Nocita D, Pistone A, Njuguna J. JOM 2016, 68, 1078–1089.
- [11] Bahrami SAH, Ramazani A, Shafiee M, Kheradmand A. Polym. Adv. Technol. 2016, 27, 1172–1178.
- [12] Kheradmand A, Bahrami SAH, Khorasheh F, Baghalha M, Bahrami H. *Polym. Adv. Technol.* 2015, 26, 315–321.
- [13] Xu L, Zheng Y, Yan Z, Zhang W, Shi J, Zhou F, Zhang X, Wang J, Zhang J, Liu B. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2016, 370, 201–208.
- [14] Choi B, Lee J, Lee S, Ko J-H, Lee K-S, Oh J, Han J, Kim Y-H, Choi IS, Park S. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2013, 34, 533–538.
- [15] Bhattacharyya A, Chen S, Zhu M. *Express Polym. Lett.* 2014, 8, 74–84.
- [16] Suner S, Joffe R, Emami N. Compos. Part B-Eng. 2015, 78, 185–191.
- [17] Shen B, Chen S, Chen Y, Sun F. Surf. Coat. Tech. 2017, 311, 35–45.
- [18] Chen S, Shen B, Chen Y, Sun F. Diam. Relat. Mater. 2017, 73, 25–32.
- [19] Chen S, Shen B, Sun F. Diam. Relat. Mater. 2017, 76, 50–57.
- [20] Liu T, Li B, Lively B, Eyler A, Zhong WH. Wear 2014, 309, 43–51.
- [21] Gu J, Li N, Tian L, Lv Z, Zhang Q. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 36334–36339.
- [22] Chiu FC, Chen YJ. Compos. Part A-Appl. S. 2015, 68, 62–71.